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It was shown in [1] that strong discontinuities different from the elastic shock waves may form in elastic-plastic media, and this fact leads to the necessity of formulating additional conditions at the discontinuities. It would therefore be desirable to be able to single out those media for which solutions could be constructed without the need of using such discontinuities.

In [2] it was shown that with the Mises yield condition and the isotropic workhardening property, the plane simple waves do not break up in the presence of


Fig. 1. two velocity components when certain restrictions are imposed on the initial state of stress. This is valid for those media which, on a simple compression test, produce a stress-strain curve convex in the direction of the stress axis (Fig. 1). Below we generalize this assertion to the case of arbitrary plane simple waves in the same medium. A solution of the problem of collapse of an arbitrary discontinuity is constructed under stricter conditions. In this case the only discontinuities are the elastic shock waves, the contact type discontinuities and the discontinuities representing the limiting case of simple waves propagating at constant speed.

1. Using the framework of the geometrically linear theory, let us consider the motion of an elastic-plastic medium, the free energy of which is given by $F=F_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{i j}{ }^{e}\right)+$ $+F_{2}(T)$ Under the usual assumptions [3], the temperature $T$ does not enter the stressstrain equations, Consequently, for such a medium the mechanical problem can be solved separately from the heat problem. In particular, the condition of conservation of energy at the discontinuity does not impose any restrictions on the velocity and the stresses. It merely represents a boundary condition of the problem on the temperature distribution.

The equation of the stress surface written in the Mises form is as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{2} \equiv 1_{2} \sigma_{i j}^{\prime} \sigma_{i j}^{\prime}=k^{2}(\chi) \quad\left(d \chi=\sigma_{i j} d \varepsilon_{i j} p \quad \text { or } \quad d \chi=\sqrt{d \varepsilon_{i j}^{p} d \varepsilon_{i j} p}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\sigma_{i j}{ }^{\prime}$ is the deviator of the stress tensor and $k^{2}(\chi)$ is a prescribed, monotonously increasing function. The relations within the parentheses are equivalent to each other for the condition (1.1) in the presence of an associated law.

The following rule associated with (1.1) is adopted for the plastic deformation increments

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \varepsilon_{i j}^{p}=d \lambda \sigma^{i j}, \quad d \lambda \geqslant 0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Hooke's law for the other deformations. Finally, for the total deformations we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
-K \frac{\partial v_{k}}{\partial x_{k}}=\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \quad\left(p=-1 / 3 \sigma_{k k}\right) \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial v_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial v_{k}}{\partial x_{k}} \delta_{i j}=\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \sigma_{i j}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2 \mu} \frac{\partial s_{i j}^{\prime}}{\partial t} \quad(\mu, K=\text { const }) \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (1.1) and (1.2) we have $d \chi=2 k^{2}(\chi) d \lambda$. Thus $\chi=\chi(\lambda)$ and we can write (1.1) as $\lambda=\Phi(J)$ where $(\Phi(J)$ is a monotonously increasing function with the load condition

$$
d \lambda= \begin{cases}\frac{d \Phi}{d J} d J & \text { when } \lambda=\Phi(J), d J>0  \tag{1.4}\\ 0 & \text { when } d I \leqslant 0 \text { and also when } \Phi(J)<\lambda\end{cases}
$$

Below we shall study plane waves propagating in a constant state, for (1.3), (1.4) and the equations of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \Im_{11}}{\partial x}, \quad \rho_{0} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \sigma_{12}}{\partial x}, \quad \rho_{0} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \sigma_{13}}{\partial x} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of a one-dimensional motion it follows from (1.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33}\right)+\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}\left(\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33}\right)=0, \quad \frac{1}{2 \mu} \frac{\partial \sigma_{23}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \sigma_{23}=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the state of stress ahead of the wave is described by $\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33}=0$ and $\sigma_{23}=$ $=0$ (the last equation can be obtained by rotating the coordinate system about the $\partial x$ axis), we have $\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33} \equiv 0$ and $\sigma_{23} \equiv 0$. The case of $\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33}=0$, with the additional assumption of $v_{3}=0$ and $\sigma_{13}=0$ was studied in [2]. Below we shall investigate the case $v_{3} \neq 0$ and $\sigma_{13} \neq 0$, and the condition $\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33}=0$ will also be subsequently removed.

Performing the change of variables

$$
\begin{gather*}
J^{2}=\frac{3}{4}\left(\sigma_{11}+p\right)^{2}+\sigma_{12}^{2}+\sigma_{13}^{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\sigma_{11}+p\right)=J \cos \theta(0 \leqslant \theta<\pi) \\
\sigma_{12}=J \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sigma_{13}=J \sin \theta \sin \varphi(0 \leqslant \varphi<2 \pi) \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

we obtain the system (1.3)-(1.5) in the plastic region in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{K} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}=0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial x}+\left(J \frac{d \Phi}{d J}+\frac{1}{2 \mu}\right) \frac{\partial J}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2 \mu} J \cos \theta \cos \varphi \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \iota}-\frac{1}{2 \mu} J \sin \theta \sin \varphi \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \iota}=0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial x}+\left(J \frac{d \Phi}{d J}+\frac{1}{2 \mu}\right) \frac{\partial J}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2 \mu} J \cos \theta \sin \varphi \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2 \mu} J \sin \theta \cos \varphi \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}=0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x}-\left(J \frac{d \Phi}{d J}+\frac{1}{2 \mu}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \mu} J \sin \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}=0  \tag{1.8}\\
\rho_{0} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \cos \theta \frac{\partial J}{\partial x}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} J \sin \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{0} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial t}-\sin \theta \cos \varphi \frac{\partial J}{\partial x}-J \cos \theta \cos \varphi \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}+J \sin \theta \sin \varphi \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}=0 \\
& \rho_{0} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial t}-\sin \theta \sin \varphi \frac{\partial J}{\partial x}-J \cos \theta \sin \varphi \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}-J \sin \theta \cos \varphi \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall take $J$ as the simple wave parameter in (1.8), i. e. we shall consider the solutions of $(1.8)$ of the form $u=u[J(x, t)]$, where $u \equiv\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, J, p, \theta, \varphi\right)$. The system (1.8) which has the form $A(u) \partial u / \partial t+B(u) \partial u / \partial x=0$, can be reduced to the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
[-C(u) A(u)+B(u)] d u / d J=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(u)$ is the root of the characteristic equation $\operatorname{det}(-\mathrm{CA}+\mathrm{B})=0$
Investigation of the characteristic equation can be simplified by utilizing the Mandel theorem [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant C_{1}^{p} \leqslant C_{1}^{e} \leqslant C_{2}^{p} \leqslant C_{2}^{e} \leqslant C_{3}^{p} \leqslant C_{3}^{e} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{i}{ }^{e}$ and $C_{i}{ }^{p}$ denote, respectively, the elastic and plastic characteristic velocities. Since

$$
C_{1}{ }^{e}=C_{2}{ }^{e}=\sqrt{\mu / \rho_{0}}
$$

we also have

$$
C_{2}{ }^{p}=\sqrt{\mu / \rho_{0}}
$$

whereupon $C_{1}{ }^{p}$ and $C_{3}{ }^{p}$ can be found from the characteristic equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha a^{4}+\beta a^{2}+\gamma=0 \quad\left(\alpha^{3} \equiv P_{0} C^{2}\right) \\
\alpha=J \frac{d \Phi}{d J}+\frac{1}{2 \mu}, \beta=-J \frac{d \Phi}{d J}\left(K+\mu+\frac{\mu}{3} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)-\frac{K}{2 \mu}-\frac{7}{6} \\
\gamma=\mu K J \frac{d \Phi}{d J} \cos ^{2} \theta+\frac{2}{3} \mu+\frac{1}{2} K \tag{1.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

The simple waves break up if $\partial C / \partial t=(d C / d J)(d J / \partial t)>0$ or if $d C / d J$ $>0$, since in the plastic region $\partial J / \partial t>0$. If $d C / d J<0$, the simple wave becomes flatter. Under $d / d J$ we understand a derivative given by (1.9). The expressions $d C / d J$ and $d a^{2} / d J$ have the same sign for the waves moving to the right. Differentiating (1.11), we find that the sign of $d a^{2} / d J$ coincides with the sign of $S \equiv a^{4} d \alpha / d J+$ $+a^{2} d \beta / d J+d \gamma / d J$ for the waves moving at velocity $C_{1}{ }^{p}$ (slow), and is opposite for the waves moving at velocity $C_{3}{ }^{\text {b }}$ (fast)

$$
\begin{gather*}
S=\frac{d}{d J}\left(J \frac{d \Phi}{d J}\right) D+2 K \mu\left(1+\frac{a^{2}}{3 k}\right) J \frac{d \Phi}{d J} \frac{d \theta}{d J} \sin \theta \cos \theta  \tag{1.12}\\
D \equiv a^{4}-\left(K+\mu+\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{3} \mu \sin ^{2} \theta\right) a^{2}+K \mu \cos ^{2} \theta
\end{gather*}
$$

In the following we assume that $d(J d \Phi / d J) / d J>0$. This inequality represents the condition of convexity of the stress-strain curve for the simple compression test (Fig.1). We shall show helow that in this case no strong discontinuities are formed; when $d(J d \Phi / d J) / d J<0$ the discontinuities obviously appear even when the motion has a single (longitudinal) velocity component.

From (1.9) we find

$$
\frac{d \theta}{d J}=\operatorname{ctg} \theta\left(\frac{1}{J}-\frac{2 \mu\left(a^{2}-K\right)}{K+4 \mu / 3-a^{2}} \frac{d \Phi}{d J}\right)
$$

Substituting $a^{2}$ from (1.11) and using (1.10) qe find that the signs of $d \theta / d J$ and $\operatorname{tg} \theta$ are opposite for the fast waves, and equal for the slow waves. Further, by (1.11) we have

$$
D=-\left(J \frac{d \Phi}{d J}\right)^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{2 \mu} a^{4}-\left(\frac{K}{2 \mu}+\frac{7}{6}\right) a^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \mu+\frac{1}{2} K\right]
$$

from where, in accordance with (1.10), it follows that $D \geqslant 0$ for the fast waves and $D \leqslant 0$ for the slow waves, Using (1.12) and the sign estimates obtained above we can finally establish that $d C / d J \leqslant 0$ for both slow and fast plastic waves.

Thus, no shock waves form from the simple plastic waves. The waves which propagate at velocity

$$
C_{2}{ }^{p}=\sqrt{\mu / \rho_{0}}
$$

without distorting their form can be regarded, in the limit ( $e_{*} g_{0}$ in a self-similar solution), as shock waves. For these waves the problem of determining the relations at the discontinuity is simple: all quantities vary as those in a simple wave. It should, however be noted that the result obtained follows from imposing arbitrarily strong restrictions. Break up of simple plastic waves is possible even within the framework of the geometrically linear theory with the effects of heat disregarded [1]. In this case additional conditions at the discontinuities can, and must be obtained by considering the structure of the discontinuity [5].

Finally, the condition $\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33}=0$ should be disposed of. If we have $\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{38}=$ $=\gamma_{0}$ ahead of the wave, then from (1.6) it follows that $\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33}=\gamma_{0} e^{-2 \mu \mu}$, and the equation of the stress surface

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{2} \equiv 3 / 4\left(\sigma_{11}+p\right)^{2}+\sigma_{12}^{2}+\sigma_{13}^{2}+1 / 4\left(\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33}\right)^{2}=k^{2}[\chi(\lambda)] \quad \text { or } \quad \lambda=\Phi(J) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be rewritten in the form
$I^{2} \equiv 3 / 4\left(\sigma_{11}+p\right)^{2}+\sigma_{12}^{2}+\sigma_{13}^{2}=h^{2}[\chi(\lambda)]-1 / 4 \gamma_{0}^{2} e^{-4 \mu \lambda} \quad$ or $\quad \lambda=\Psi(I)(1,14)$
Since $\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33}$ does not enter the remaining equations of the system (1.3)-(1.5) the problem can be studied for a medium in which $\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33}=0$, while the equation of the stress surface has the form not of (1.13), but of (1.14). It remains to show that the relations $d \Phi / d J>0$ and $d(J d \Phi / d J) / d J>0$ for (1,13) imply analogous relations $d \Psi / d I>0$ and $d(I d \Psi / d I) / d I>0$ for (1.14). The first of them is obvious, and the validity of the second one can be confirmed by differentiating (1.13) and (1.14) twice with respect to $J$ and $I$. respectively, and using the inequality

$$
\frac{d \Psi}{d I}=\frac{2 I(\lambda)}{d k^{2} / d \lambda+\mu \gamma_{0}^{2} e^{-4 \mu \lambda}}<\frac{2 J(\lambda)}{d k^{2} / d \lambda}=\frac{d \Phi}{d J}
$$

In particular, for ideally plastic media ( $k^{2}=$ const) the problem with $\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33} \neq$ $\neq 0$ is equivalent to that of a motion with $\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33}=0$ for a medium with restricted work-hardening property $I^{2}=k^{2}-1 / 4 \gamma_{0}^{2} e^{-4 \mu \lambda}$.

Below we study the simple waves for this case in detail and discuss the solution of the problem of collapse of a discontinuity.
2. Let us put in (1.1) $k^{2}=\mathrm{const}, v_{3} \equiv 0$ and $\sigma_{13} \equiv 0$, but have $\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33} \neq$ $\neq 0$. Performing the change of variables

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{12}=k \cos \theta \quad(0 \leqslant \theta<\pi) \\
& \sigma_{11}+p=\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} k \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sigma_{22}-夭_{33}=2 k \sin \theta \sin \varphi \quad(0 \leqslant \varphi<2 \pi) \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

we can reduce the system ( 1.1 ), (1.3) and (1.5), in the present case, to

$$
K \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}=0, \quad \mu \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x}-\frac{k}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}-k \operatorname{ctg} \varphi \cos \theta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}=0
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} K k \frac{\sin \theta}{\sin \varphi} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}=0  \tag{2.2}\\
\rho_{0} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(p-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} k \sin \theta \cos \varphi\right)=0 \\
\rho_{0} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial t}+k \sin \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}=0, \quad 2 \mu \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}=-\operatorname{ctg} \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}-\operatorname{ctg} \varphi \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \geqslant 0
\end{gather*}
$$

The characteristic velocities $C_{+}$and $C_{-}$of the system (2.2) are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
a^{4}-a^{2}\left(\mu \sin ^{2} 0+4 / 3 \mu \sin ^{2} \varphi+4 / 3 \mu \cos ^{2} \theta \cos ^{2} \varphi+K\right)+ \\
+\mu \sin ^{2} \theta\left(\frac{4 \mu}{3} \sin ^{2} \varphi+K\right)=0, \quad a^{2} \equiv \rho_{0} C^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the inequalities hold for these velocities (1.10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant C_{-} \leqslant \sqrt{\mu / \rho_{0}} \leqslant C_{+} \leqslant \sqrt{(K+4 / 3 \mu) / \rho_{0}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use of $\theta$ as a parameter for the simple wave will be expedient. The system $(2,2)$ can then be reduced to the following ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d \varphi}{d \theta}=\frac{\left(\mu \sin ^{2} \theta-a^{2}\right) \sin \varphi}{a^{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta \cos \varphi}  \tag{2.5}\\
\frac{K}{C} \frac{d v_{1}}{d 0}=\frac{d p}{d \hat{0}}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{K k}{\mu} \frac{\sin 0}{\sin \varphi} \frac{d \varphi}{d \theta}, \frac{d v_{2}}{d \theta}=\frac{k \sin \theta}{\rho_{0} C}
\end{gather*}
$$

In order to investigate this system, it is obviously necessary to plot the integral curves of (2.5). Various cases are possible. depending upon which interval the quantity $K / \mu$ arrives at, the intervals being $(0,1),(1,4 / 3)$ and $(4 / 3, \infty)$. We shall assume for definiteness that $K / \mu>4 / 3$. The remaining cases can be treated in exactly the same way. The obvious symmetry implies that only the values $0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi / 2$ and $0 \leqslant \varphi \leqslant$ $\leqslant \pi / 2$ and the simple waves propagating to the right need be considered.

We begin by considering slow simple waves. In this case Eq. (2.5) has the singular points $O(0,0)$ and $K(0, \pi / 2)$ Expanding $a^{2}(\theta, \varphi)$ near the point $O$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}=\frac{3 K \mu}{2(3 K+2 \mu)} \theta^{2}+\theta^{2} O\left(\theta^{2}+\varphi^{2}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (2.4) it follows that near $O$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \varphi}{d 0}=\frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{\mu}{K^{\prime}} \frac{\varphi}{\theta} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Point $O$ is a node point and the integral curves touch the straight line $\theta=0$. Similarly we find that the point $K$ is a saddle point. The straight lines $\theta=\pi / 2, \varphi=0$ and $\varphi=\pi \quad 2$ are isoclinic of $d \varphi / d \theta=0$ and $\theta=0$ is an isoclinic of $d \varphi / d \theta=\infty$. Moreover, from (2.3) and (2.4) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \sin ^{2} \theta \geqslant \rho_{0} C_{-}^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, in accordance with (2.5), we have in the region considered. $d \varphi / d \theta \geqslant 0$. Finally the pattern of the integral curves is shown in Fig. 2. The arrows show the direction in which the values change in a simple wave. The direction is determined by the incquality $\partial \lambda / \partial t>0$, which reduces by virtue of (2.2) and (2.8) in the region considered to the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \theta / \partial t<0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us inspect the changes which the remaining quantities undergo in the slow wave

1) $\frac{d v_{2}}{d \theta}=\frac{k \sin \theta}{\rho_{0} C_{-}} \geqslant 0$

By (2.9) the variation $\Delta v_{2}<0$ and is bounded, since $d v_{2} / d \theta$ tends according to (2.6) to unity when $0 \rightarrow 0$.


Fig. 2.


Fig. 3.

$$
\text { 2) } \frac{d v_{1}}{d \theta}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{k}{\mu} \frac{\sin \theta}{\sin \varphi} \frac{d \varphi}{d \theta} C_{-} \leqslant 0
$$

The variation $\Delta v_{1}>0$ and is bounded, since by (2.6) and (2.7) $d v_{1} / d \theta \rightarrow 0$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$.
3) Finally, $\sigma_{12}=k \cos \theta$ and $\sigma_{11}$ varies as $v_{1}$ since the equation of motion implies that $d \sigma_{11} / d \theta=-\rho_{0} C$ $d v_{1} / d \theta$.The integral curve on the $\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}$-plane has the form $a \alpha$ (see Fig. 4 below).

It is clear that the value $\left|\sigma_{12}\right|=k$ can always be attained in a slow plastic wave. We also note that the changes undergone by all quantities in such a wave are restricted.

The fast simple waves are studied in an analogous manner. Figure 3 depicts the integral curves on the $\theta, \varphi$-plane. By virtue of the inequality (2.4) we have $d \varphi / d \theta \leqslant 0$. The straight lines $\theta=0, \theta=\pi / 2$ and $\varphi=\pi / 2$ are isoclinic of $d \varphi / d \theta=\infty$ and the line $\varphi=0$ is an isoclinic of $d \varphi / d 0=0$. We have the following singularities: $O$ which is a saddle point, $d \varphi / d 0=-\varphi / 0$ and $M(\pi /$ $(2,0)$ which is a node, the integral curves touch the line $\theta=\pi / 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \varphi}{d \theta_{1}}=\left(1-\frac{\mu}{K}\right) \frac{\varphi}{\theta_{1}} \quad\left(\theta_{1} \equiv \theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the previous case, all quantities in the fast wave vary monotonously. We find that $\Delta v_{2}>0$ and $\Delta \sigma_{12}<0$ and are bounded as before, while $v_{1}$ and $-\sigma_{11}$ increase without bounds. Since $\varphi \rightarrow 0$ when $\theta \rightarrow \pi / 2$, by $(2.10)$ we have

$$
\frac{d v_{1}}{d \theta}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{k}{\mu} \frac{\sin \theta}{\sin \varphi} \frac{d \varphi}{d \theta} C_{+} \sim-\frac{1}{\varphi} \frac{\varphi}{\theta_{1}}=-\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}
$$

The integral curves on the $\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}$-plane have the form $A a$ (Fig. 4).
As was already shown in Sect 1, neither the fast nor the slow plastic waves do break up.

It remains to consider the simple plastic waves for which 0 cannot be used as a parameter, i. e. the waves with $\sigma_{12}=$ const. Let $\mu(x, t)$ be the parameter of such a wave. The second equation of (1.5) supplies an alternative: $d v_{2} / d \mu=0$ or $\partial \mu / \partial t=0$, i. e. $C=0$. In the first case (1.4) implies $\psi \sigma_{12}=0(\psi \equiv \partial \lambda / \partial t)$. Setting $\psi=U$ we obtain a solution which is a constant and $\sigma_{12}=0$ represents the limiting case of a fast plastic wave which propagates without distorting its form when $\sigma_{\alpha_{2}}=u_{33}$ When $C=0$ $x$ can be used as a parameter of a simple wave. The system (1.1), (1.3), (1.5) then reduces to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x}=\psi \sigma_{12}, \quad \psi \geqslant 0, \quad \psi\left(\sigma_{11}+p\right)=0 \\
\psi\left(\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33}\right)=0, \quad{ }^{s} / 4\left(\sigma_{11}+p\right)^{2}+\sigma_{12}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33}\right)^{2}=k^{2} \\
\sigma_{11}=\text { const, } \quad v_{1}=\text { const }
\end{gathered}
$$

and describes two types of solutions.

1) $\psi \neq 0 ; \sigma_{i j}$ and $v_{1}$ are constant, $\left|\sigma_{12}\right|=k ; \quad 1 / 2 d v_{2} / d x=\psi \sigma_{12}(\psi>0$


Fig. 4. and is arbitrary elsewhere). The magnitude of $v_{2}$ in this solution varies arbitrarily and its sign is determined from the condition $\psi>0$ by the sign of $\sigma_{12}$ 。
2) $\psi=0 ; \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are constant, $\sigma_{22}$ and $\sigma_{33}$ vary arbitranily.

In the limit ( $\mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g}$. in the self-similat problem) these solutions reduce to two types of discontinuities encountered in the theory of quasi-static motions of a plastic medium [6]. In the first type of discontinuity pure shear occurs on both sides and the stresses are continuous, while at the second type discontinuity the velocities are continuous and the deformation velocities at both sides cancel each other ( $\psi=0$ ). Both discontinuities are of the contact type.

Other waves such as elastic longitudinal and transverse may appear in the medium under consideration in addition to the plastic waves, and their parameters vary in the following, well-known manner; for the longitudinal wave we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho_{0} C_{\|}^{2}=K+\frac{4}{3} \mu, \quad \Delta \sigma_{11}-\rho_{0} C_{\|} \Delta v_{1}, \quad \Delta \sigma_{22}=\Delta \sigma_{33}= \\
=\frac{-3 K+2 \mu}{3 K+4 \mu} \rho_{0} C_{\|} \Delta v_{1}, \quad \Delta \sigma_{12}=0, \quad \Delta v_{2}=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

and for the transverse wave we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0} C_{\perp}=\mu, \quad \Delta \sigma_{11}=\Delta \sigma_{22}=\Delta \sigma_{30}=0, \quad \Delta v_{1}=0, \quad \Delta \sigma_{12}=-\rho_{0} C_{\perp} \Delta v_{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us investigate the solution to the problem of collapse of an arbitrary discontinuity. Suppose the values of $\sigma_{i j}$ and $v_{i}$ are given at $t=0$ as $s_{i j}{ }^{+}$and $u_{i}{ }^{+}$for $x>0$ and as $s_{i j}$ and $u_{i}$ for $x<0\left(u_{i}^{+}<u_{i}\right)$. All constants entering the equations and initial conditions of the problem have the dimension of velocity, density or stress; therefore a single dimensionless combination can be formed from $x$ and $t$ (e.g. $\left.x t^{-1}\left(K / \rho_{0}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)$ and the problem is self-similar.

In the region $x>0$ the self-similar solution consists of elastic shock waves and simple plastic waves propagating to the right and separating from each other by regions in which all parameters have constant values. The order of the wave propagation is determined by the inequality (2.4).

Let the initial state for $x>0$ be elastic and represented on the $\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}$-plane by the point $Q$ (Fig. 4). Points lying on the segment $A B$ can be reached in the
longitudinal elastic wave, and the points $A$ and $B$ themselves correspond to the emergence at the stress surface. On the $0, \varphi$-plane the points corresponding to $A$ and $B$ are symmetric with respect to the straight line $\varphi=\pi / 2(\varphi=3 \pi / 2)$, since by (2.1) and (2.11) we have

$$
k \cos \theta(A)=\sigma_{12}(A)=\sigma_{12}(B)=k \cos \theta(B)
$$

$2 k \sin \theta \sin \varphi(A)=\sigma_{22}(A)-\sigma_{33}(A)=\sigma_{22}(B)-\sigma_{33}(B)=2 k \cos \theta \sin \varphi(B)$
The longitudinal elastic wave may be followed by a fast plastic wave (curves $A a$ and $B b$ in Fig. 4). As was shown before, $\sigma_{11}$ may vary in this wave without restrictions.

The states represented in Fig. 4 by the point of the curve $a A Q B b$, i. e. after the passage of the fast plastic and longitudinal elastic waves, may be traversed by a transverse elastic wave in which we reach the states represented by the elliptic arc $A C B \quad\left({ }^{*}\right)$

$$
\sigma_{12}^{2}+\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{3 K}{3 K+4 \mu} s_{11}^{+}-\frac{1}{3} s_{k k}^{+}+\frac{4 \mu}{3 K+4 \mu} \sigma_{11}\right)^{2}=k^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left(s_{22}^{+}-s_{33}{ }^{+}\right)^{2}
$$

and the curve $a^{\prime} A^{\prime} C^{\prime} B^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ symmetrical with respect to $a A C B b$ relative to the straight line $\sigma_{12}=0$. The formula ( 2.13 ) is obtained from the condition of plasticity with the help of (2.11) and (2.12), the curves $a A C B b$ and $a^{\prime} A^{\prime} C^{\prime} B^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ are symmetric since in the transverse wave $\sigma_{12}$ is the only stress that varies and the initial and final points (e.g. $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ ) lie on the load surface.

In what follows, the propagation will be limited to the slow plastic waves (the curves $a \alpha, a^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}, b \beta$ and $b^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ in Fig. 4) in which, as was shown before, the value of $\left|\sigma_{12}\right|=$ $=k$ is attained. The state of stress with arbitrary $\sigma_{11}$ and $\sigma_{12},\left|\sigma_{12}\right| \leqslant k$ (the last inequality is dictated by the condition $1 / 2 \sigma_{i j}{ }^{\prime} \sigma_{i j}{ }^{\prime}=k^{2}$ ) can be reached from any prescribed initial state and this yields a solution to the problem on an oblique shock, i.e. to the problem in which a load $\sigma_{11}$ and $\sigma_{12}$ is applied to the surface $x=0$ at the time $t=0$ and remains constant henceforth. This problem was studied in [1, 2 and 7] under the condition $\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{3 s}=0$

To construct a solution to the problem on collapse of the discontinuity we must inspect the variation of $v_{i}$ from the given initial state $s_{i j}$ and $u_{i}$ along each path on the $\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}$-plane. Let the following relation hold for the waves propagating to the right

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}^{+}=u_{1}^{+}+f_{1}\left(\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}, s_{1 j}^{+}\right), v_{2}^{+}=u_{2}^{+}+f_{2}\left(\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}, s_{i j}^{+}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for the waves moving to the left we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=u_{1}-f_{1}\left(\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}, s_{i j}\right), v_{2}=u_{2}-f_{2}\left(\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}, s_{i j}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variation of velocity is not restricted in the fast plastic wave, and is restricted in the remaining waves. Hence

$$
\lim _{\sigma_{11} \rightarrow \infty} f_{1}\left(\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}, s_{i j}\right)=-\infty, \quad \lim _{\sigma_{11} \rightarrow-\infty} f_{1}\left(\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}, s_{i j}\right)=+\infty
$$

Then from (2.14) and (2.15) it follows that for any $s_{i f}$ and $u_{i}$ on the $\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}$-plane and for any value of $\sigma_{12}$ a point exists in which $v_{1}^{+}=v_{1}$. These points form a curve $\Gamma_{1}$ on the $\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}$-plane.

[^0]In certain cases (e.g. when the initial discontinuity is sufficiently small and $s_{i j}^{+}$as well as $s_{i j}^{-}$lie within the elastic region), a curve $\Gamma_{2}$ also exists, intersecting $\Gamma_{1}$, on which $v_{2}{ }^{+}=v_{2}$. The point $P$ of intersection of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ gives a solution of the problem of collapse of a discontinuity consisting of integral curves connecting, on the $\sigma_{11}$, $\sigma_{12}$-plane the points $\left(s_{11}^{+}, s_{12}^{+}\right)$and ( $s_{11}^{-}, s_{12}$ ) with $P$, and a contact (second) type discontinuity discussed previously. The only quantities which undergo a jump are $\sigma_{22}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{33}$.

The curves $\Gamma_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ need not intersect. The curve $\Gamma_{2}$ does not even always exist Since the variation of $v_{2}$ in the elastic and plastic (fast and slow) waves is restricted, $v_{2}{ }^{+}$and $v_{2}^{-}$do not concide when $\left|u_{2}{ }^{+}-u_{2}^{-}\right|$is sufficiently large. In this case we have, at the surface $x=(1$ a contact ansconmmity of che first type. The states of stress on the $\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}$-plane at both sides of such a discontinuity are represented by the intersections of $\mathrm{l}_{1}$ with $\sigma_{12}=k$ or with $\sigma_{12}=-k$. The condition $\operatorname{sgn} \sigma_{12}=\operatorname{sgn}\left(v_{2}{ }^{+}-\right.$ $-v_{2}{ }^{-}$) enables us to choose this point unambiguously. Since $\Gamma_{1}$ does not intersect $\mathrm{I}_{2}{ }^{2}$, the last sign along $\Gamma_{1}$ is retained. Connecting the selected point with the initial points by means of the integral curves, we obtain a solution to the problem of collapse of the initial discontinuity.

The jump suffered by the value of the transverse velocity component is characteristic for the ideally plastic media, and the media with restricted work-hardening property. A situation discussed in [2] is typical for the media with unrestricted work-hardening property. Numerical calculations in [2] indicate that in a slow plastic wave $\sigma_{12} \rightarrow \infty, \sigma_{11}$ -$-\sigma_{k 2} \rightarrow U$ and $\sigma_{11}$ is restricted. (These conclusions could easily be reached in 2 qualicative manner, as one of the equations of the system becomes separated from the other equations just as in the example discussed previously). Estimating the terms of the characteristic equations we then find that $C_{-} \sim \sigma_{12}$ Choosing $o_{12}$ as the parameter of the simple wave we find from the equation of motion that in the slow wave $d v_{2} / d \sigma_{12}=$ $=-\left(\rho_{0} C\right)^{-1} \sim \sigma_{12}$ and $v_{2} \rightarrow \infty$ in the slow wave. Apparently in this case $v_{2}$ can always be made continuous at the contact discontinuity.

Thus we find that under the assumption made about the medium and the class of the problems considered, an arbitrary discontinuity decomposes into elastic shock waves, simple plastic waves and a contact type discontinuity. No discontinuities in the plastic region exist that would require additional conditions to be obtained. The fact that the simple plastic waves do not break up in the more general case discussed in Sect. 1 enables one to conclude with sufficient confidence that in the present case the situation will remain exactly the same and the only discontinuities that need to be considered will be the elastic shock waves, the contact type discontinuities and discontinuities representing limiting cases of the simple waves propagating with constant speed.

The author expresses his gratitude to A. G. Kulikovskii for valuable advice and to L. I. Sedov for useful discussions.
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The asymptotic method presented here for one-dimensional nonlinear dynamic systems described in terms of partial differential equations with a small parameter, uses a known solution of the unperturbed problem as the basis for constructing an approximate solution on the prescribed variable range, which will tend to its exact value when the small parameter tends to zero. The method is based essentially on varying the arbitrary constants entering the unperturbed solution and constructing, for the slowly varying functions of the coordinate and time thus created, a system of differential equations the form of which depends on the degree of approximation. These equations remain nonlinear in the partial derivatives thus retaining the specific character of the problem and are, at the same time, easier to analyze than the initial equations.

The substantiation of the method is reduced to proving a theorem on continuous dependence of the solution of the system of partial differential equations on the variation of its right-hand sides, and the proof is given here for hyperbolic and symmetrical parabolic systems.

The procedure considered here embraces, as its particular cases, the known asymptotic methods of the perturbation theory [1, 2] of the geometrical optics $[3,4]$ and the methods $[5,6]$ related to the method for ordinary differential equations which are almost linear [7].

1. Let us consider a system of differential equations of the form

$$
N(u)=u_{t}+A(u, x, t, \chi, \tau) u_{x}+B(u, x, t, \chi, \tau)=
$$


[^0]:    *) If the initial state $Q$ lies on the load surface, it is represented by one of the points $A, A^{\prime}, B, B^{\prime}$ lying on the corresponding ellipse.

